



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/522,743	01/28/2005	Evripidis Koukouravas	016906-0363	9254
23428 7590 12/17/2009 FOLEY AND LARDNER LLP SUITE 500 3000 K STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20007				
EXAMINER				
CIRIC, LJILJANA V				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3744				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
12/17/2009		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/522,743	KOUKOURAVAS, EVRIPIDIS	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ljiljana (Lil) V. Ciric	3744	

All Participants:

(1) Ljiljana (Lil) V. Ciric.

(2) Kevin McHenry, Reg. No. 62,582.

Date of Interview: 15 December 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

1-7

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 16:30

/Ljiljana (Lil) V. Ciric/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3744

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: On 4 December 2009, Examiner Ciric telephoned Attorney McHenry to propose an examiner's amendment correcting an idiomatic/grammatical informality remaining in the last line of claim 1. On 15 December 2009, Examiner Ciric telephoned Attorney McHenry again to propose additionally correcting (via the same examiner's amendment) a minor antecedent basis problem remaining in the last line of each of claims 6 and 7. Attorney McHenry approved the proposed examiner's amendment (copy attached), thus perfecting allowed claims 1 through 7..